

§101 Subject Matter Eligibility Reform

By

Stephen G. Kunin

sgk@maierandmaier.com

35 U.S.C. 101: *INVENTIONS PATENTABLE*

- “Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.”
- Translation

Presentation Overview

- Subject Matter Eligibility in the U.S.
- Translation
- Coons-Tillis Framework
- What's Next?

§101 Treatment In Federal Courts

- Judicial Exceptions:
Diamond v. Diehr
(1981)
 - Laws of Nature
 - Natural Phenomena
 - Abstract Ideas
- Translation

§101 Treatment In Federal Courts

- Abstract Analysis: *Mayo Collaborative Services v. Prometheus Labs, Inc.* (2012) & *Alice Corporation v. CLS Bank International* (2014)
 - Step 1: Is the claim for a “process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter”?
 - No->Ineligible
 - Yes->Step 2
- Translation

§101 Treatment In Federal Courts

- *Mayo-Alice Test Continued...*
 - Step 2A: Is the claim “directed to” a judicial exception?
 - No->Eligible
 - Yes->Step 2B
 - Step 2B: Is there an ‘inventive concept’ that is “significantly more than the excluded subject matter to transform that abstract idea into a patent-eligible invention”?
 - No->Ineligible
 - Yes->Eligible
- Translation

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/eLibrary\)](https://utcle.org/eLibrary)

Title search: Section 101 Subject Matter Eligibility Reform

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
15th Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute session
"Section 101: High Tech v. Life Sciences"