

Character Evidence



QUENTIN BROGDON

Crain Brogdon Rogers, LLP

214.598.1009 Cell
QBROGDON@CBRLAWFIRM.COM


1

Myths About Prior Conduct

- 1. Few will be discovered.
- 2. Witnesses exhibit tell-tale signs.
- 3. Prohibitively costly.
- 4. Rarely admissible.
- 5. If no conviction, not admissible.
- 6. Admissible only to impeach.

2

Prior Inconsistent Statements and Statements to Show Bias or Interest



3

TRE 613

- 2015 amendments relax predicate and move Texas closer to federal.
- Before 2015, opportunity to explain or deny prior statement *during impeachment* was in foundation.
- No application to party admissions.

4

FRE 613

- Favors impeaching party over witness, judge and jury.
- Admitting prior statement after witness excused not in witness' best interests, and not efficient for court.

5

FRE 613

- Witness need not be informed of time and place of prior statement and person to whom statement made during cross.

6

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Character Evidence and Evidence of Previous Crimes

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
2020 Character Evidence and Evidence of Previous Crimes session
"Character Evidence and Evidence of Previous Crimes"