Ediscovery Update

Judge Xavier Rodriguez



Agenda

- Pre-petition/complaint preservation letters
- Legal Hold Notices
- Duty of Competence
- ESI protocols
 - a. What are we talking about
 - b. When are they necessary and what should/should not be in them
- The rise of Rule 26(g)
 - a. A response to the overly strictness of 37(e)
 - b. Should this rule be utilized more in achieving proportional requests?
 - c. Interplay between duty of competence and Rule 26(g)
- Have courts been overly restrictive in discovery rulings or are they achieving proportionality?

1

Pre-petition/complaint preservation letters

- Require thoughtfulness and should not be boilerplate; asking for everything to be retained means a court will likely say that no reasonable notice was given as to what causes of action or claims were being asserted
- <u>http://www.craigball.com/Perfec</u> <u>t Preservation Letter 2020.pdf</u>



The Duty to Preserve

What's the Difference?

Legal Hold Notice

A Legal Hold Notice goes to your clients

Preservation Letter

A Preservation Letter goes to the other side

Elements of a "Perfect" Preservation Letter

Reasonable, proportionate and focused on relevance. Ties scope to the facts and issues of the case Serves as an explicit, decisive trigger for the duty to preserve Seeks to halt systematic destruction of evidence Serves as a blueprint for meet-and-confer Educates re: sources, forms, risks and methods Esteems the "how" and "how much" issues faced by the other side Defines standards reasonably applicable to both sides Addresses mobile, metadata and forensic preservation, as appropriate Conveys competence, attention to detail and professionalism

Elements of a "Perfect" Preservation Letter *Peals v. QuikTrip Corp.*, No. 4:20-CV-22- KPJ, 2021 WL 2043185 (E.D. Tex. May 21, 2021)

Preservation Letter instructed QuikTrip to preserve "all photographs and video of the incident," but did not state how much footage to preserve or reference cleaning fluids as the cause of Plaintiff's alleged fall.

Court: "On these particular facts, QuikTrip could not have reasonably known that it should have preserved additional footage or known that its custodial staff would be a 'key player' in this lawsuit." Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: E-Discovery

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 2022 Essential Technology Competence for Attorneys session "E-Discovery"