

Medical Expenses in Car Crash Cases after *In re Allstate* and *In re K&L Auto Crushers*

UT Law CLE: Car Crash Seminar
August 26, 2022



Morgan McPheeters
McPheeters Law, PLLC
morgan@mcpheeterslaw.com
(469) 862-8233

How we discover
prove up, &
challenge
medical bills
continues
to evolve


- 2019 procedural changes to § 18.001
- *In re Allstate* (Tex. 2021)
- *In re K&L Auto Crushers* (Tex. 2021)
and *In re ExxonMobil* (Tex. 2021)
- Collateral source rule



How we discover prove up, & challenge medical bills continues to evolve

Questions include:

- How can plaintiffs most efficiently prove up medical expenses?
- Why controvert under 18.001?
- Why challenge a controverting affidavit under 18.001?
- Admissibility of *North Cypress*-type insurance rate information?
- Failure to mitigate defense vs. collateral source rule



18.001 & Paid vs. Incurred Background

Recovery of medical expenses in car crash cases is limited to:

- Amounts actually paid or incurred,
- that are reasonable, and
- necessary

Claimants can only recover medical expenses that are "Paid or Incurred"

- Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 41.0105
- Governs recovery of medical expenses & admissibility of evidence to prove those expenses.
- Provides: "In addition to any other limitation under law, recovery of medical or health care expenses incurred is limited to the amount actually paid or incurred by or on behalf of the claimant."

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Medical Expenses in Car Crash Cases after *In re Allstate* and *In re K&L Auto Crushers*

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
2022 The Car Crash Seminar session

"Medical Expenses in Car Crash Cases after *In re Allstate*: an Update from Discovery to Trial "