FINDINGS OF FACT: CRITICAL, UNDERUSED ADVOCACY TOOL

Catherine Greene Burnett
© 2024

1

Georgetown Law School Writing Center

State Prosecuting Attorney's Office Texas Court of Criminal Appeals former writ staffers – Michael Falkenberg and Michael Stauffacher David Keltner


Robert Dubose

Andrea Jacobs Baldwin Chin

IT TAKES A VILLAGE THANKS TO



STANDARDS OF REVIEW



2


STANDARDS OF REVIEW: POTENTIAL ROLE IN CRAFTING PROPOSED FINDINGS


Can be a game changer
– when advocate uses
strategically, depending
on specific case

Differing standards are function of discrete institutional roles between trial and appellate courts



л









Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Finding of Fact: Critical, Underused Advocacy Tool

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 2024 Robert O. Dawson Conference on Criminal Appeals session "Drafting Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law"