



31st ANNUAL

LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT LAW CONFERENCE

The FTC Proposed Rule Banning Non-Compete Agreements

KEN HUGHES, PLLC
KHUGHES@KHUGHESPLC.COM
713-588-0890
832-419-2088

The University of Texas School of Law ■ www.utcle.org

1

Summary of FTC Ban

Existing non-competes are prohibited with limited exceptions

Non-compete is any agreement that *penalizes* a worker or *functions to prevent* a worker from accepting work with a different person

2

Agreements that Penalize Employees And Are Expressly Subject To FTC Ban

Liquidate damage clause (Final Rule @76)

Forfeiture of severance (Final Rule @77)

Exxon v. Drennen 2014 (forfeiture provision in profit share is not a covenant not to compete)

3

Agreements That May Function As Non-compete Agreements

Nondisclosure agreements

Training repayment programs

Non-solicitation (FR @77)

Unless they are so broad and onerous that they penalize a worker from accepting new employment

4

Examples of Agreements the FTC Describes As Functionally Equivalent to Noncompete

A non-disclosure that prevents the employee from disclosing “any information” they learned in prior employment (even publicly available information)

A retraining payment program that imposes a significant cost such that the employee cannot take a new job

Final Rule @ 77-78

5

Senior Executive Exception

1 An employee who earns more than \$151,164 annually, and

2 Who is in a policy-making position

“final authority to make policy decisions that control significant aspects of a business”

6

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: The New Balkans: Non-Compete and Restrictive Covenants Under Siege Yet Still Commonplace

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
31st Annual Labor and Employment Law Conference session
"The New Balkans: Non-Compete and Restrictive Covenants Under Siege Yet Still
Commonplace"