Equity Pledges in Real Estate Lending
Why Are They Gaining Traction?

By Benjamin F.S. Herd[*]

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

In recent years, commercial real estate finance lenders have increasingly mandated equity pledges from their borrowers in addition to the lien on the subject real estate. This inclination arises from the necessity for increased security amidst economic instability and heightened default

[*] Ben Herd is a partner in the Dallas office of Haynes and Boone LLP, focusing his practice mainly on the representation of institutional lenders in all manner of real estate structured finance transactions. He is a Fellow of the American College of Mortgage Attorneys, an honoree of The Irish Legal 100, a member of the Commercial Real Estate Finance Council and Mortgage Bankers Association, and an ardent believer that *Firefly* was the finest television show ever to air. The author can be reached at ben.herd@haynesboone.com.

exposure. While mortgages and deeds of trust constitute longstanding collateral mechanisms, equity pledges – encompassing security interests in the underlying borrower entities – give lenders access to amplified control and expedited paths to remedies upon default. Taking into consideration applicable caselaw and current market trends, the ensuing discussion clarifies the rationales for equity pledge adoption, outlines the role of UCC-9 insurance, and ponders related legal intricacies. Such an examination might be beneficial for legal practitioners wading into this new lending landscape.

2. The Lay of the Land: How Borrowing Entities Work

Commercial real estate transactions frequently utilize single-purpose entities to hold title to individual properties and act as borrower under the loan. This configuration mitigates risks for both borrowers and lenders by isolating the asset from broader liabilities (mainly bankruptcy). The entity owner maintains full equity entitlements, including governance authority and applicable distributions. Financing is traditionally secured via mortgages on the property, with defaults triggering foreclosures – which may be relatively quick in non-judicial jurisdictions such as Texas, Georgia, and Tennessee or glacially slow in judicial foreclosure states such as New York, Illinois, and Wisconsin. Equity pledges under UCC Article 9 present an alternative, enabling lenders to assume entity control and indirectly access the asset without protracted delays. This approach resonates with lender objectives in challenging environments.

3. Equity Pledges 101: What Is the Deal?

An equity pledge establishes a security interest in the complete ownership of a borrowing entity whereby the sole owner (or, in some instances, multiple owners) pledge its/their ownership interest in the mortgage borrower to lender. This structure is analogous to traditional mezzanine structures except there is no separate mezzanine loan in place, the pledge serves as additional collateral for the single underlying loan. Distinct from mortgages encumbering real property, it pertains to personal property under UCC Article 9. This grants lenders oversight of economic and operational elements, enabling intervention in defaults. Per the UCC, interests qualify as "investment property" upon opt-in to UCC Article 8 through entity governance documents, or as "general intangibles" otherwise. Opt-in assimilates them to securities, permitting perfection via

physical certificate possession and yielding protected purchaser safeguards against claims. Core components include consent resolutions, pledge agreements, irrevocable proxies for voting, pre-negotiated transfer instruments for post-foreclosure, UCC-1 filings under §9-310 (though typically redundant in the instance of an Article 8 "opt-in"), and lender retention of certificates to avert unauthorized actions. This architecture provides lenders robust enforcement capacities.

4. Why Lenders Are Embracing Equity Pledges

The increasing requirement of equity pledges in real estate lending stems from lenders' need to adapt to the complex demands of modern finance, where economic uncertainty and rising defaults call for stronger safeguards. The dual-collateral characteristic resulting from the adding these pledges helps tackle a variety of these challenges – in short, lenders are choosing optionality.

4.1 Fast-Track Control in Default

Defaults demand prompt resolution and equity pledges can provide access to accelerated remedy timelines for mortgage lenders. Judicial mortgage foreclosures in regions like New York often exceed a year. Non-judicial UCC foreclosures on pledges can be effected in as few as ten days, though forty-five to ninety days is more typical for adherence to commercial reasonableness standards. Post-foreclosure, lenders acquire entity ownership and are thereby able to assert more oversight and direction of property management. The UCC mandates reasonable dispositions incorporating notice and bidding, making equity pledges an advantageous tool in distressed scenarios. When time is money, the difference in time between effecting UCC Article 9 versus direct foreclosure remedies can be material.

4.2 Mitigating Bankruptcy Risks

The onset of bankruptcy proceedings typically activates an automatic stay pursuant to Section 362 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, which serves to temporarily suspend collection efforts and provide the debtor with breathing room to reorganize. Equity pledges, however, offer a strategic means for lenders to navigate these constraints by focusing on the ownership interests in the borrowing entity itself, thereby likely allowing foreclosure actions to proceed externally without directly infringing upon protections provided by the stay. Through this approach, lenders are positioned to potentially replace existing management or initiate asset dispositions in a manner

Title search: Equity Pledges in the Mortgage Lending Context

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
59th Annual William W. Gibson, Jr. Mortgage Lending Institute session
"Equity Pledges in the Mortgage Lending Context"