

# Case Law Update

## 14th Annual Changes and Trends Affecting Special Needs Trusts

Mary Alice Jackson, Esq.  
Austin, TX

### In the Matter of Calley

*2017 CA 0068 (LA Ct. App. Sep. 21, 2017)*

- Calley injured in motorcycle accident; awarded settlement
- In 1989, Irrevocable SNT funded by settlement proceeds
- LA Dept. of Health sought recovery from SNT. Argued Trust shouldn't be payback trust since created before OBRA '93
- Trust stated State can make a claim against trust for medical services provided under SS Act
- District court: language unambiguous; didn't prohibit State from recovering against trust

## In the Matter of Calley (*cont.*)

- Appellate court affirmed. Although SNTs w/ payback language did not become a legal method to obtain or preserve Medicaid eligibility until OBRA '93, fed law didn't prohibit inclusion of payback language prior to OBRA '93.
- Court stated recovering from such assets wouldn't be against public policy.

## Hutson v. Mosier

*2017 WL 3942586 (Kan. App. Sept. 8, 2017)*

- Hutson (70), SNF resident, transferred assets to 1st party pooled SNT. Medicaid app approved, transfer penalty imposed.
- District court upheld penalty bc over age 65 & not a transfer for FMV
- Hutson appealed → argued she received FMV for transfer to pooled SNT (i.e. exchanged legal title for equitable title and was to receive a future benefit by transferring funds into a pooled SNT).
  - Argued state should have burden to prove transfer was less than FMV.

## Hutson v. Mosier (*cont.*)

- Court held, as matter of law, transfers by Medicaid applicants age 65+ to pooled SNTs subject to a penalty period if < FMV.
- Court couldn't determine, as matter of law, if this transfer was < FMV.
  - Possible that investment and MGMT services of pooled SNTs could be considered as compensation to Hutson.
  - Value of SNT services is question of fact → court remanded issue to lower court.

## A.M. v. Div. of Medical Assistance and Health Services

*No. A-4669-14T4, N.J. Super. App. Div. (Sep. 13, 2017)*

- A.M. gifted son home before applying for LTC Medicaid
- Dept. imposed transfer penalty bc < FMV transfer
- Dept. stated Medicaid law contains presumption that transfer for < FMV during look-back period is considered to be made for purpose of establishing Medicaid eligibility.
- Court stated A.M. failed to show transfer was for purpose other than establishing eligibility. Unlikely since A.M. was already in SNF when deed executed.

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in over 30 legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

## Title search: Case Law Update

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the  
14<sup>th</sup> Annual Changes and Trends Affecting Special Needs Trusts session  
"Case Law Update/Legislative Update"