

Ready! Fire! Aim!
“Subject to the PSA” Clause
vs. Merger by Deed

41st Annual Ernest E. Smith Oil, Gas and Mineral Law Institute
Brandon Durrett, Partner
March 29, 2019

California | Illinois | Michigan | Minnesota | Texas | Washington, D.C.
www.dykema.com

Why “Rush”?

- Is any deal *not* a “rush” deal?
- Avoid other party losing interest or backing out
- Limit time assets off market
- Limit buyer’s time for due diligence
- Confidentiality concerns
- Meet closing deadline

Why “Rush”?



“Subject to the PSA” Clause

- “Subject to the PSA” clause or similar reference in assignment of leases sometimes perceived as “shortcut” to careful drafting
- “The terms of this Assignment are subject to the Purchase and Sale Agreement” between the parties

“Subject to the PSA” Clause

- Big question: Does it makes the PSA terms control over assignment terms if they conflict?
- Seems intuitive, but case law says no

“Subject to the PSA” Cases

- *Devon Energy Prod. Co. v. KCS Res. LLC*, 450 S.W.3d 203 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 2014, pet. denied).
- *Burlington Res. Oil & Gas Co. LP v. Tex. Crude Energy, LLC*, 516 S.W.3d 638 (Tex. App.—Corpus Christi 2017), *rev'd on other grounds*, No. 17-0216, 2019 WL 983789 (Tex. March 1, 2019).

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Ready! Fire! Aim! "Subject to the PSA" Clause vs. Merger by Deed

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
45th Annual Ernest E. Smith Oil, Gas and Mineral Law Institute session
"Ready! Fire! Aim! "Subject to the PSA" Clause vs. Merger by Deed"