

# The Future Isn't What It Used to Be

TRENTON HOOD  
BROOKSHIRE GROCERY COMPANY, TYLER, TX

1

## Charging Order History

- Origins in general partnership law
  - Designed to protect interests of other partners
    - ✦ Joint and several liability for partnership obligations
    - ✦ Partner's power to bind partnership
    - ✦ Replaced earlier law allowing creditors of partner to reach partnership property
  - Inherent application to multi-owner entities
  - TBOC 152.308
- Carried over to limited partnership law
  - TBOC 153.256
  - By definition of partner, applies to general partners and limited partners
- Carried over to limited liability company law
  - TBOC 101.112
- Focus on limited liability company law but applies to partnerships as well

2

## Charging Order Framework

- Who can get a charging order? TBOC 101.112(a)
  - Judgment creditor of a member
  - Judgment creditor of owner of membership interest
    - ✦ Membership interest – TBOC 1.002(54) – includes a member's share of profits and losses or similar items and the right to receive distributions, but does not include a member's right to participate in management.
- Who grants a charging order? TBOC 101.112(a)
  - Court authorized to charge membership interest of judgment debtor
- What is nature of charging order? TBOC 101.112(b)-(f)
  - Right to receive distribution to which judgment debtor otherwise entitled
  - Lien on judgment debtor's membership interest
  - Lien not subject to foreclosure
  - Exclusive remedy to satisfy judgment out of judgment debtor's membership interest
  - No effect on exemption laws applicable to membership interest
  - No right to obtain possession of or exercise remedies against property of LLC

UT LAW CLE

3

## Devoll v. Demonbreun et al San Antonio COA – 04-14-00331-CV

- Demonbreun and Dowds obtained judgment against Norris Devoll
- Demonbreun and Dowds sought turnover order against Norris Devoll's community property – including wife Paulette's undivided 1/2 interest in 206 Camelia Partnership
- 206 Camelia Partnership owned 50.1% by Gene Devoll and 49.9% by Paulette Devoll
- 206 Camelia Partnership's principal asset was real property valued at ~\$75,000
- Two days after request for turnover order, Gene Devoll notified Paulette Devoll of her default under partnership agreement and ultimately bought out her partnership interest for \$5,000
- Turnover order granted and Norris and Paulette Devoll ordered not to dispose of their community property
- Based on fraudulent transfer claim, Demonbreun and Dowds given temporary injunction ordering Gene Devoll not to "transfer, sell, encumber, or otherwise dispose of the property" owned by 206 Camelia Partnership

UT LAW CLE

4

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

## Title search: The Future Isn't What It Used to Be

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the  
28<sup>th</sup> Annual LLCs, LPs and Partnerships session

**"The Future Isn't What It Used to Be"**