

PRESENTED AT

64th Annual Taxation Conference

November 30-December 1, 2016
Austin, Texas

Distinguishing and Planning around Individual Goodwill

Kenton E. McDonald

U N I V E R S I T Y *of* H O U S T O N

Public Law and Legal Theory Series 2011-A-10



**DISPOSABLE PERSONAL GOODWILL, FROSTY THE
SNOWMAN, AND *MARTIN ICE CREAM* ALL MELT
AWAY IN THE BRIGHT SUNLIGHT OF ANALYSIS**

91 Nebraska Law Review 170 (2012)

Bret Wells and Craig Bergez

THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON
LAW CENTER

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:
The University of Houston Accepted Paper Series Index

The Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection

Disposable Personal Goodwill, Frosty the Snowman, and *Martin Ice Cream* All Melt Away in the Bright Sunlight of Analysis

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction	170
II. Historical Background	172
A. Pre-1986 Law	172
B. Tax Reform Act of 1986	174
C. Post-1986 Reaction	176
D. Section 7704	178
III. Substantive Analysis	180
A. Marketing Intangibles	184
1. Transfer Pricing Rules	185
2. <i>Martin Ice Cream</i>	191
3. Taxpayer Duty of Consistency	196
B. Residual Goodwill Resides with the Business	202
IV. Corporate Tax Reform Implications	209
V. Conclusion	211

I. INTRODUCTION

The current rage in dispositional tax planning for closely-held C corporations is to bifurcate the sale transaction into two components comprising: (a) a sale by (i) the target C corporation's shareholders of their target C corporation stock or (ii) the target C corporation of its assets; and (b) a sale by some or all of the target C corporation's share-

© Copyright held by the NEBRASKA LAW REVIEW.

* Bret Wells is an Assistant Professor of Law at the University of Houston Law Center. Craig M. Bergez is a partner at Porter Hedges LLP and is an Adjunct Professor of Law at the University of Houston Law Center. The authors wish to thank Ira Shepard, who is a Professor Emeritus at the University of Houston Law Center, and Edward Osterberg, who is an Adjunct Professor at the University of Houston Law Center, for their helpful comments on earlier drafts of this article. The views expressed in this article are solely the views of the authors.

holders of "personal goodwill" associated with the business conducted by the target C corporation. The documented purchase price paid for the first component of the transaction (either the stock of the C corporation or the assets of the C corporation) is based on a fair market value determination that excludes consideration of the personal goodwill component of the transaction. If successful, this tax planning technique allows the selling shareholders to report only shareholder-level capital gain on the personal goodwill component of the transaction and allows the buyer to claim that this portion of the purchase price is allocable to an acquired intangible, i.e., goodwill, that is amortizable over fifteen years under § 197.¹ More specifically, from the selling shareholders' perspective, if the first component of the transaction involves a sale of the target C corporation's assets, the portion of the purchase price attributable to the personal goodwill component of the transaction does not bear the burden of a corporate level of taxation. From the buyer's perspective, if the first component of the transaction involves a purchase of the target C corporation's stock, the portion of the purchase price attributable to the personal goodwill component of the transaction is not capitalized into the stock.²

This planning is premised on the position that certain goodwill associated with the target C corporation's business can be, and is in fact, owned for tax purposes, by one or more shareholders. If all goodwill associated with the target C corporation's business activities were in fact owned for tax purposes by the target C corporation, then the personal goodwill component of the transaction is properly viewed as a sale by the target C corporation of such goodwill creating a corporate-level gain, followed by a distribution from the target C corporation to the shareholders, which in turn creates a shareholder-level gain.³ If,

1. I.R.C. § 197 (2006).

2. A premise for this planning (in addition to the premise that is the subject of this Article) is that the target C corporation's business has a fair market value that exceeds the fair market value of the assets shown on the target C corporation's balance sheet. Thus, some value is attributable to self-created goodwill (i.e., goodwill that has not been acquired and thus is not reflected on the balance sheet). See the discussion *infra* Part III. As a result, if the first component of the transaction is a sale by the target C corporation of its assets, the buyer generally would treat a portion of the purchase price as allocable to goodwill and thus to the purchase of an intangible asset amortizable under § 197 regardless of the presence of any personal goodwill. Accordingly, if the first component of the transaction is a sale by the target C corporation of its assets, the principal purported benefit from the personal goodwill planning technique would be the avoidance of corporate-level taxation. If the first component of the transaction was a sale of the target C corporation's stock, the purported benefits from the personal goodwill planning technique would include both the avoidance of corporate-level taxation and the acquisition by the buyer of an asset amortizable under § 197.

3. *Howard v. United States*, No. CV-08-365-RMP, 2010 WL 3061626 (E.D. Wash. Jul. 30, 2010), *aff'd* 448 F. App'x 752 (9th Cir. 2011). The transaction might also be viewed as a distribution by the target C corporation of the goodwill to the

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2016 Taxation eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
64th Annual Taxation Conference session

"Distinguishing and Planning around Individual Goodwill"