EVIDENCE CHALLENGE
PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANT Plaintiff brings a slander action against Defendant. He claims that Defendant defamed him by telling a large audience, "Plaintiff is crooked, a liar, and has tiny, little hands."

Plaintiff calls Audience Member to testify.

- Q: Were you in the audience at the Civic Center the night that Defendant spoke?
- A: Yes. I was.
- Q: Do you recall whether Defendant said anything about Plaintiff?
- Defense counsel: OBJECTION. Hearsay.
- A: Yes, he said that Plaintiff was crooked, that he was a liar, and that he had tiny, little hands.

The judge should:

- A. OVERRULE the objection because the statement is not offered for its truth.
- B. OVERRULE the objection because the statement is admissible as an opposing party's statement.
- C. SUSTAIN the objection.

Defendant calls Victim to testify.

- Q: Did you have any business dealings with Plaintiff in the past five years?
- A: Yes. Plaintiff contacted me and asked me to invest in a real estate deal.
- Q: Did you invest?
- A: I did. I invested \$400,000.
- Q: What happened?
- A: I lost all \$400,000.

- Q: Why?
- Plaintiff's counsel: OBJECTION. Impermissible character evidence. Hearsay.
- A: Because Plaintiff lied about pretty much every key element of the deal.
 About the financing, about tenants he had already lined up, about environmental issues, about zoning issues. All lies.





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Evidence Challenge

Also available as part of the eCourse 2017 eConference on State and Federal Appeals

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 27^{th} Annual Conference on State and Federal Appeals session "Evidence/Procedure Quiz"