# Patent Prosecution Tips and Traps

SIEPHEN A. MASON KIRK DO RIUS

## Who Owns My Case?

- ► Each inventor has the independent right to assign.
- ► Unless you have a joint assignment (or a complete set of independent assignments), there is a question mark with regard to ownership.
- ▶ MPEP 301 IV.

Jointownership - Multiple parties may together own the entire right, title and interest of the patent property. This occurs when any of the following

- (A) Multiple partialassignees of the patent property;
- (B) Multiple inventors who have not assigned their right, title and interest; or
- (C) A combination of partial assignee (s), and inventor(s) who have not assigned their right, title and interest.

Each individual inventormay only a ssign the interest he or she holds; thus, a ssignment by one joint inventorm nders the assignee a partial assignee. A partial assignee likewise may only assign the interest it holds; thus, assignment by a partial assignee enders a subsequent assignee a partial assignee. All parties having any portion of the ownership in the patent property must act together as a composite entity in patent matters before the Office.

# Did the non-pub and a foreign case constructively abandon my case?

- ▶ The non-publication request is a two-edged sword. You gain secrecy, but you create a risk of constructive abandonment.
- ▶ 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)

(i) If an applic ant makes a request upon filing, certifying that the invention disclosed in the application has not and will not be the subject of an application filed in another country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing, the application shall not be published as provided in paragraph (1).

 $(ii) \ An\ app \ lic\ ant\ m\ ay\ re\ sc\ ind\ a\ re\ q\ ue\ st\ m\ a\ d\ e\ und\ e\ r\ c\ la\ use\ (i)\ a\ t\ a\ ny\ tim\ e\ .$ 

(iii) An applicant who has made a request under clause (i) but who subsequently files, in a foreign country or under a multilate ral international agreement specified in clause (i), an application directed to the invention disclosed in the application filed in the Patent and Thademark Office, shall notify the Director of such filing not later than  $45\,\mathrm{days}$  after the date of the filing of such foreign or international application. A failure of the applicant to provide such notice within the prescribed period shall result in the application being regarded as abandoned.

#### Pro se c ute your target.

- ► Instead of just making your claims as broad as possible, identify your target coverage as early as possible during prosecution.
- A narrow claim that is issued and covers a competitor is more valuable than a broad claim that is still in prosecution.
- ► Consider how easy/difficult it would be to show evidence of use of your claims. Would extensive reverse engineering be required or can evidence probably be found using publicly available information?

# Pro se c ute fa milie s for red und a ne y

- ► Even if you have claims that you think map to a target, keep prosecuting continuations (within reason) because you may need to adjust the claims after licensing negotiations start (e.g., after an IPR).
- A similar specific ation in a live case outside the chain of priority is handy (see slide on constructive abandonment).

# Mind the geographic quirks.

- ► The remainder of this presentation will focus on prosecution outside of the United States.
- ► The combination of foreign language and foreign law creates unique problems of which to be aware.





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

### Title search: Patent Prosecution Tips and Traps

Also available as part of the eCourse 2017 Advanced Patent Law (Austin) eConference

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the  $22^{\text{nd}}$  Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute session "Patent Prosecution Tips and Traps"