

Patent Prosecution and Malpractice

David Hricik,
Professor, Mercer Law School
Of Counsel, Taylor English Duma, LLP

2

History Repeats

Joint Development: Common Interest Privilege or Joint
Clients?

Rule 56 or IPRC and/or versus Inequitable Conduct

Shared Prosecution: Common Interest Privilege or Joint Clients?

Regional Circuit Law Applies.


Common Cooperation Clause in Prosecution Contracts

- You're counsel (in-house or outside) for Client A.
- You're prosecuting applications for Client A.
- Client A & Party B have a shared prosecution agreement. (Joint development; license; other forms) which has this clause:
“Client A shall manage and have the primary responsibility to file, prosecute, and maintain the patent applications, but Party B shall have reasonable opportunity to comment and advise on office actions, prosecution, and other filings.”
- Party B has its own lawyers representing it.

You Do Your Job

- You send Party B's lawyer emails and updates, as required, and often you label them "privileged and confidential."
- Common interest privilege allows for privileged communications to be shared with non-client if non-client shares a common "legal" interest.
- All is good.

What's Everyone's(?) Goal?



Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Patent Prosecution and Malpractice

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2017 Advanced Patent Law \(Austin\) eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the

22nd Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute session

"Patent Prosecution and Malpractice"