ETHICS OF REDACTING MEDICAL RECORDS

Plaintiff's Perspective

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SCHOOL OF LAW
THE CAR CRASH SEMINAR 2018

Paul N. Gold, Presenter AVERSANO & GOLD Judy Kostura, Author



Federal Statute re Medical Privacy:

HIPAA has a 115 page simplified rulebook...

Health info which is personally identifiable **must** be protected by covered entities.


OK to disclose IF:

- a. required by law;
- b. under court order;
- c. under subpoena, discovery request, or lawful process;

And IF:

- a. notice is given; or
- b. reasonable opportunity to obtain a protective order is available.
 - i. prohibiting redisclosure; and
 - ii. requiring return/destruction of records at the end of litigation.





Who is a covered entity? Fed Law:

HITECH 2009: Business associates of the health care provider, even w/o privity. Includes law firms handling:

- a. security and compliance;
- b. defense of false claims;
- c. professional license defense;
- d. risk management and due diligence; and
- e. med mal defense.

But NOT law firms handling:

- a. workers comp;
- b. social security benefits; or
- c. employment law claims.

Warning: state law may be MORE stringent (but pre-empted if less strong.)



Who is a covered entity? State Law (HB 300) much broader than federal:

- (2) "Covered entity" means any person who:
- (A) for commercial, financial, or professional gain, monetary fees ... [engages in] ... using ... or transmitting protected health information. The term includes a business associate, health care payer, governmental unit, information or computer management entity, school, health researcher, health care facility, clinic, health care provider, or person who maintains an Internet site;
- (B) comes into **possession** of protected health information;
- (C) obtains or stores protected health information under this chapter; or
- (D) is an employee, agent, or contractor of ... (A), (B), or (C) [and] ... uses, or transmits protected health information.
- (2-a) "**Disclose**" means to release, transfer, provide access to, or otherwise divulge information outside the entity holding the information.

Tex. Health & Safety Code Sec. 181.001(b)(2)-(2-a)

That's us, guys.



PRIVACY is not the same as PRIVILEGE

State law dr-pt privilege (TRE 509) is not carried over into federal law FRE 501 (but TRE 510 therapist-pt) is.

There is a federal psychotherapist-patient privilege; *Jaffree v. Redmond*; it includes social workers.

There is no federal "doctor-patient privilege" EXCEPT in civil cases in which state law "supplies the rule of decision."



PROCESS is not the same as PRIVILEGE

"All that 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(e) should be understood to do, therefore, is to create a **procedure** for obtaining authority to use medical records in litigation. Whether the records are actually **admissible** in evidence will depend among other things on whether they are **privileged**. And the evidentiary privileges **that are applicable to federal question suits are given not by state law but by federal law, Fed. R. Evid. 501, which does not recognize a physician-patient (or hospital-patient) privilege."**

Northwestern Memorial Hospital v. Ashcroft, 362 F.3d 923; 2004 U.S. App. LEXIS 5724 (7th Cir. 2004).









Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: The Ethics of Redacting Medical Records: Plaintiff's Perspective

Also available as part of the eCourse 2018 The Car Crash eConference

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 2018 The Car Crash Seminar session
"The Ethics of Redacting Medical Records from Plaintiff's and Defendant's Perspective"