Renewable Energy in the Courts

Melissa Powers

Jeffrey Bain Faculty Scholar & Professor of Law

Director, Green Energy Institute

Lewis & Clark Law School

Overview of Focus

- I. Preemption Under Federal Power Act
- II. Commerce Clause Challenges
- III. PURPA Litigation
- IV.A Few Interesting State Cases

Preemption after Hughes v. Talen

- Hughes v. Talen Energy Marketing, LLC, 136 S.Ct. 1288 (2016)
 - Supreme Court invalidated state-mandated "contract for differences"
 - Required retail utilities (load-serving entities, or LSEs) to enter into
 PPA with new generators to buy power at specified rates
 - Generators then bid into wholesale markets would receive \$ from LSEs only if cleared markets
 - Amount LSEs paid or received was based on recovery in wholesale markets
 - Court: violates FERC's exclusive authority over wholesale sales and could harm the market dynamics

Preemption after Hughes v. Talen

- What are the limits of Hughes v. Talen? the ZEC cases
 - Coalition for Competitive Energy, Dynergy Inc. v. Zibelman, 906 F.3d 41 (2d Cir. 2018) & Electric Power Supply Assn. v. Star, 904 F.3d 518 (7th Cir. 2018)
 - New York and Illinois laws that are designed to keep nuclear plants online, at least until renewables can replace them
 - Require utilities to buy "ZECs" (zero-emission credits) from nuclear generators
 - Rates for ZECs based on social cost of carbon, adjusted for forecasted market rates

-

Preemption after Hughes v. Talen

The ZEC cases

- Both courts: ZECs are distinct from CfDs at issue in *Talen* and do not interfere impermissibly with FERC-regulated markets
- Although nuclear generators will need to clear market to earn ZECs, the value of ZECs is not directly linked to the actual market clearing price
 - Nuclear plants bid in at zero (are price-takers), so are not lowering their bids to clear the markets
 - Impacts on market prices and thus effects on generators will be indirect
- ZEC prices, while calculated based on forecasted market rates, are not impermissibly linked to markets

_

Preemption after Hughes v. Talen

- Implications for renewables
 - FERC allows wholesale markets to accommodate state policy preferences (including renewable portfolio standards and REC transactions)
 - NextEra Energy Resources, LLC v. FERC, 898 F.3d 14 (D.C. Cir. 2018)
 - Upheld FERC's approval of ISO-NE's market rules exempting renewables from minimum offer price rule that otherwise sets a price floor in forward capacity markets in part out of respect for state policy preferences
- Cert. petition filed in EPSA v. Star (7th Cir. decision) on Jan. 8, 2019





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Renewable Energy in the Courts

Also available as part of the eCourse 2019 Renewable Energy Law eConference

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 2019 Renewable Energy Law session "Renewable Energy: Updates from the Courts"