

# CHAPTER 13 "DIRT FOR DEBT"

THE BASICS OF PRE AND POST CONFIRMATION PROCESS FOR DEBTOR

## PRESENTERS

### JUDGE JEFFREY P. NORMAN

- CURRENTLY THE  
BANKRUPTCY JUDGE FOR  
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT
- BANKRUPTCY JUDGE FOR  
THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF  
LOUISIANA FROM 2014 TO  
2018

### MARQUISE BRYANT

- ASSOCIATE ATTORNEY AT  
PAYNE & ASSOCIATES
- SPECIALIZING IN  
BANKRUPTCY

# WHAT IS “DIRT FOR DEBT” ?

- The debtor proposes that a secured lender should accept collateral in satisfaction of some or all debt.
- The title is transferred to a lienholder. Absent an agreement, possession is relinquished on the transfer date.
- The debtor is no longer liable for the encumbered property as long as the title has been successfully transferred under a confirmable plan.

## CASE LAW

- **Landmark Case**

- In re Sagendorph, 2015 WL 3867955 at \*4-5(Bankr. D. Mass. June 22, 2015): A correct application of the relevant provisions of the Bankruptcy Code permits a chapter 13 debtor to propose a plan that provides for transferring title to mortgage real estate to the mortgagee in full satisfaction of its claim subject to the mortgagee's right to object, in which case the court must determine if the plan has been proposed in good faith, is otherwise in compliance with the Code, and should be affirmed. This approach maintains the integrity of both §1325(a)(5) and § 1322(b) and is consistent with the most basic principles of bankruptcy restructuring as enunciated in the Code's reorganization provisions embodied in chapters 11, 12 and 13.

- **ADDITIONAL CASE**

- In re Watt , 520 B.R. 834 (Bankr. D. Or. 2014)(1)This decision was reversed, 2015 WL 1879680 (D. Or. April 22, 2015), and was appealed to the Ninth Circuit, Docket No. 15-35484. However, consistent with Sagendorph, it takes a different view of vesting than the Rose and Rosa courts.(2)This court Holds that surrender under § 1325(a)(5) does not require acceptance by the secured creditor and that § 1329(b)(9) allows a plan to provide for vesting without consent from the lender. (3)Its rationale is plain meaning. (4)Watt states that the good faith requirement of § 1325(a)(3) would prevent a plan from forcing a third party to accept property that is a nuisance or be set with environmental problems.

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Chapter 13 "Dirt for Debt"

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2019 Consumer Bankruptcy eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the  
15<sup>th</sup> Annual Consumer Bankruptcy Practice session  
"Dirt for Debt"