

Alice Year in Review 2018-2019

Steve R. Borgman & Briana Falcon, Vinson & Elkins Janice L. Ta, Baker Botts

1




Welcome to My Nightmare: Section 101 Year in Review


Steve R. Borgman & Briana Falcon, Vinson & Elkins Janice L. Ta, Baker Botts

## **Overview**

- Welcome to my Nightmare: Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank
- Billion Dollar Babies: Software-Based Patents
- Clones (We're All): Life-Science Patents
- Raise Your Fist & Yell: Federal Circuit
- School's Out
- Appendices
  - Appendix A: 2018 Section 101 Update
  - Appendix B: 2017 Section 101 Update
  - Appendix C: 2016 Section 101 Update



3





## Alice/Mayo Two-Step Framework



- Step One Are the claims "directed to" one of the patent-ineligible "concepts" like "laws of nature, natural phenomena, and abstract ideas."
- Step Two "Simply appending conventional steps, specified at a high level of generality" is not "enough" to supply an inventive concept. "[C]laims in *Diehr* were patent eligible because they improved an existing technological process, not because they were implemented on a computer."

6









Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Alice Year in Review 2018-2019

Also available as part of the eCourse 2019 Advanced Patent Law (Austin) eConference

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the  $24^{\text{th}}$  Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute session "Alice at Five: Section 101 Update"