Intangible Drilling Costs (IDCs) vs. Geological and Geophysical Costs (G&G)

Jennifer Bernardini Internal Revenue Service Washington, DC

Intangible Drilling & Development Costs

- Require an economic interest in oil and gas property
- IRC 263(c): 100% deductible
- IRC 291(b): 70% deductible; 30% amortized over 60 months for integrated oil companies (§§ 613A(d)(2), 613A(d)(4))
- IRC 263(i): Foreign IDCs: election to either capitalize to oil and gas property or amortize over 10 years
- Treas. Reg. § 1.612-4(a)(2): clearing of ground, draining, road making, surveying, and geological works as are necessary in preparation for the drilling of wells

Intangible Drilling & Development Costs (cont.)

- Louisiana Land & Exploration Co. v. Commissioner, 7 T.C. 507 (1946)
 - Recognized point at which acquisition costs end and well development expenses [IDCs] begin as occurring after drilling has been at least tentatively decided upon
- Standard Oil Co. (Indiana) v. Commissioner, 68 T.C. 325 (1977)
 - Recognized dividing line between exploratory work and development activities as point at which preparation for drilling commences
- Sun Co., Inc. & Subs v. Commissioner, 74 T.C. 1481 (1980)
- Gates Rubber Co. v. Commissioner, 74 T.C. 1456 (1980)
 - Stated the dividing line between exploratory work and development is point at which preparations for drilling begin

3

Geological and Geophysical Costs

Law Prior to Enactment of IRC 167(h):

- Thompson v. Commissioner, 9 B.T.A. 1342 (1928)
 - Geological surveys and opinions described as geological expenses and capitalized to cost of acquiring real property for which performed
- C.M. Nusbaum v. Commissioner, 10 B.T.A. 664 (1928)
 - Amounts paid to geologist to investigate the presence of oil on a certain tract and to recommend acquisition determined to be capital expenditures

Geological and Geophysical Costs (cont.)

- Louisiana Land & Exploration Co. v. Commissioner, 7 T.C. 507 (1946)
 - Seismic survey was not connected with or confined to area that had been tentatively singled out for drilling
 - Survey was first step in over-all development of tracts with benefit of expenditure expected for the entire useful life of the asset being developed
 - Cost of survey must be capitalized because it resulted in the acquisition or retention of a capital asset

5

Geological and Geophysical Costs (cont.)

- Revenue Ruling 77-188, 1977-1 C.B. 76
 - Discusses allocation of G&G expenditure among areas of interest located and identified from expenditure
 - If no property is acquired or retained within or adjacent to area of interest, entire G&G expenditure allocable to area of interest is § 165 loss for taxable year in which that particular project area is abandoned
 - G&G expenditure allocable as a capital cost to property acquired or retained





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Intangible Drilling Costs (IDCs) vs. Geological and Geophysical Costs (G&G)

Also available as part of the eCourse 2019 Biennial Parker C. Fielder Oil and Gas Tax eConference

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 15th Biennial Parker C. Fielder Oil and Gas Tax Conference session "Capital Cost Recovery"