Patent Law Year in Review

J. Michael Jakes Finnegan Washington, DC March 13, 2020

FINNEGAN

Disclaimer

These materials have been prepared solely for educational and entertainment purposes to contribute to the understanding of U.S. intellectual property law. These materials reflect only the personal views of the authors and are not individualized legal advice. It is understood that each case is fact specific, and that the appropriate solution in any case will vary. Therefore, these materials may or may not be relevant to any particular situation. Thus, the authors and Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP (including Finnegan Europe LLP, and Fei Han Foreign Legal Affairs Law Firm) cannot be bound either philosophically or as representatives of their various present and future clients to the comments expressed in these materials. The presentation of these materials does not establish any form of attorney-client relationship with these authors. While every attempt was made to ensure that these materials are accurate, errors or omissions may be contained therein, for which any liability is disclaimed.

FINNEGAN

2

Patent Law Year in Review

- Supreme Court decisions
- Patent eligibility under § 101
- Arthrex and other challenges to PTAB
- Obviousness
- Swearing behind prior art
- Indefiniteness and written description
- Claim construction, infringement, and prosecution history estoppel

FINNEGAN

Supreme Court

- Return Mail v. Postal Service government is not a "person" who is able to challenge patents in AIA post-grant proceedings
- Helsinn Healthcare v. Teva Pharms. secret sales to a third party who is obligated to keep the invention confidential can be invalidating "onsale" prior art under § 102(a)(1)
- Peter v. NantKwest PTO cannot recover salaries of its legal personnel as "expenses" in § 145 actions filed in the district court

FINNEGAN

4

What's next on the docket

 Thryv v. Click-to-Call - can the Federal Circuit review a PTAB decision that an IPR is not timebarred?

Other possible issues for review:

- Retroactive application of IPR to patents issued before the AIA
- Petitioner's standing as a competitor to appeal PTAB decision upholding patentability
- Arthrex

FINNEGAN

What's not on the docket

FINNEGAN

6





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: A Year In Review: Recent Decisions from the Supreme Court and Federal Circuit

Also available as part of the eCourse 2020 Advanced Patent Law (USPTO) eConference

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 15^{th} Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute session "A Year In Review: Recent Decisions from the Supreme Court and Federal Circuit"