UT CLE

U.S. Supreme Court Update – 2020 Term May 13, 2021



Professor Jennifer Laurin
University of Texas School of Law
jlaurin@law.utexas.edu

1

Presentation Overview

- Fourth Amendment
 - Torres v. Madrid
 - Caniglia v. Strom
 - Lange v. California
- Sixth Amendment
 - Edwards v. Vannoy
- Eighth Amendment
 - Jones v. Mississippi



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

Presentation Overview

- Coming Attractions
 - Hemphill v. New York
 - U.S. v. Tsarnaev



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN SCHOOL OF LAW

3

But First - Some Themes

- Remote argument
 - Draws out Justice Thomas
 - Cases of mistaken identity
- Fourth Amendment originalism ascendant
- Abortion proxy wars continue
- Occasional fissures in conservative bloc: Chief Justice and



Fourth Amendment

- Torres v. Madrid, 141 S.
 Ct. 989 (2021)
 - Question presented: Is an unsuccessful attempt to detain a suspect by use of physical force a "seizure" within the meaning of the Fourth Amendment?



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

-

5

Fourth Amendment

Torres v. Madrid, 141 S. Ct. 989 (2021)



- Held (5-3, Chief Justice Roberts writing): Yes.
 "[T]he application of physical force to the body of a person with intent to restrain is a seizure even if the person does not submit and is not subdued." (Torres was "seized" when officers shot her with intent to restrain her movement.")
 - California v. Hodari D., 499 U. S. 621 (1991), informs analysis (and name-checking the late Justice Scalia)
 - · Canvasing the common law





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Supreme Court Update

Also available as part of the eCourse 2021 Robert O. Dawson eConference on Criminal Appeals

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 2021 Robert O. Dawson Conference on Criminal Appeals session "Supreme Court Update"