UNIFORM STATUTORY TRUST ENTITY ACT (2009)

(Last Amended 2013)

Drafted by the

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

and by it

APPROVED AND RECOMMENDED FOR ENACTMENT IN ALL THE STATES

at its

ANNUAL CONFERENCE MEETING IN ITS ONE-HUNDRED-AND-TWENTY-SECOND YEAR BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS JULY 6 - JULY 12, 2013

WITH PREFATORY NOTE AND COMMENTS

COPYRIGHT © 2014 By NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

ABOUT ULC

The **Uniform Law Commission** (ULC), also known as National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws (NCCUSL), now in its 123rd year, provides states with non-partisan, well-conceived, and well-drafted legislation that brings clarity and stability to critical areas of state statutory law.

ULC members must be lawyers, qualified to practice law. They are practicing lawyers, judges, legislators and legislative staff, and law professors, who have been appointed by state governments as well as the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands to research, draft, and promote enactment of uniform state laws in areas of state law where uniformity is desirable and practical.

- ULC strengthens the federal system by providing rules and procedures that are consistent from state to state but that also reflect the diverse experience of the states.
- ULC statutes are representative of state experience, because the organization is made up of representatives from each state, appointed by state government.
- ULC keeps state law up-to-date by addressing important and timely legal issues.
- ULC's efforts reduce the need for individuals and businesses to deal with different laws as they move and do business in different states.
- ULC's work facilitates economic development and provides a legal platform for foreign entities to deal with U.S. citizens and businesses.
- Uniform Law Commissioners donate thousands of hours of their time and legal and drafting expertise every year as a public service, and receive no salary or compensation for their work.
- ULC's deliberative and uniquely open drafting process draws on the expertise of commissioners, but also utilizes input from legal experts, and advisors and observers representing the views of other legal organizations or interests that will be subject to the proposed laws.

ULC is a state-supported organization that represents true value for the states, providing services that most states could not otherwise afford or duplicate.

DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON UNIFORM STATUTORY TRUST ENTITY ACT (2009)

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing the Uniform Statutory Trust Entity Act consists of the following individuals:

JUSTIN L. VIGDOR, 2400 Chase Square, Rochester, NY 14604, Chair

THOMAS J. BUITEWEG, 121 W. Washington, Suite 300, Ann Arbor, MI 48104

ANN E. CONAWAY, Widener University School of Law, 4601 Concord Pike, Wilmington, DE 19803

LANI LIU EWART, 1099 Alakea St., Suite 1800, Honolulu, HI 96813

THOMAS L. JONES, University of Alabama School of Law, University Station, P.O. Box 865557, Tuscaloosa, AL 35486-0050

DIMITRI G. KARCAZES, 55 E. Monroe St., Suite 3300, Chicago, IL 60603

JOHN H. LANGBEIN, Yale Law School, P.O. Box 208215, New Haven, CT 06520-8215

L. GENE LEMON, 1136 W. Butler Dr., Phoenix, AZ 85021-4428

HARRY M. WALSH, 456 Summit Ave. #206, St. Paul, MN 55102

ROBERT H. SITKOFF, Harvard Law School, 1575 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, MA 02138, Conference Reporter

EX OFFICIO

MARTHA LEE WALTERS, Oregon Supreme Court, 1163 State St., Salem, OR 97301-2563, President

ANNE L. MCGIHON, 837 Sherman St., Denver, CO 80203, Division Chair

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISOR

ELLISA OPSTBAUM HABBART, 300 Martin Luther King Blvd., Suite 200, Wilmington, DE 19801, ABA Advisor

WILLIAM H. CLARK, JR., One Logan Square, 18th and Cherry Streets, Philadelphia, PA 19103-6996, *ABA Section Advisor*

ROBERT R. KEATINGE, 555 17th St., Suite 3200, Denver, CO 80202-3979, ABA Section Advisor

THOMAS E. RUTLEDGE, 2000 PNC Plaza, 500 W. Jefferson St., Louisville, KY 40202-2874, ABA Section Advisor

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

JOHN A. SEBERT, 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010, Chicago, IL 60602, Executive Director

DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON HARMONIZATION OF BUSINESS ENTITY ACTS

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing the harmonized uniform unincorporated entity acts consists of the following individuals:

- HARRY J. HAYNSWORTH, 108 Addingtons, Williamsburg, VA 23188, Chair
- WILLIAM H. CLARK, JR., One Logan Square, 18th and Cherry Sts., Philadelphia, PA 19103-6996, *Vice-Chair*
- ANN E. CONAWAY, 302 High Ridge Rd., Greenville, DE 19807
- THOMAS E. GEU, University of South Dakota School of Law, 414 Clark St., Suite 214, Vermillion, SD 57069-2390
- DALE G. HIGER, 1302 Warm Springs Ave., Boise, ID 83712
- JAMES C. MCKAY, JR., Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia, 441 Fourth St. NW, 6th Floor S., Washington, DC 20001
- MARILYN E. PHELAN, 306 Peninsula Ct., Granbury, TX 76048
- WILLIAM J. QUINLAN, Two First National Plaza, 20 S. Clark St., Suite 2900, Chicago, IL 60603
- KEVIN P.H. SUMIDA, 735 Bishop St., Suite 411, Honolulu, HI 96813
- JUSTIN L. VIGDOR, 350 Linden Oaks, Suite 310, Rochester, NY 14625-2825
- DAVID S. WALKER, Drake University Law School, 2507 University Ave., Des Moines, IA 50311
- CARTER G. BISHOP, Suffolk University Law School, 120 Tremont St., Boston, MA 02108-4977, Co-Reporter
- DANIEL S. KLEINBERGER, 1818 Twin Circle Dr., Mendota Heights, MN 55118-4140, Co-Reporter

EX OFFICIO

- ROBERT A. STEIN, University of Minnesota Law School, 229 19th Ave. S., Minneapolis, MN 55455, *President*
- MARILYN E. PHELAN, 306 Peninsula Ct., Granbury, TX 76048, Division Chair

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISOR

- ROBERT R. KEATINGE, 555 17th St., Suite 3200, Denver, CO 80202-3979, *ABA Advisor* WILLIAM J. CALLISON, 3200 Wells Fargo Center, 1700 Lincoln St., Denver, CO 80203, *ABA Section Advisor*
- ALLAN G. DONN, Wells Fargo Center, 440 Monticello Ave., Suite 2200, Norfolk, VA 23510-2243, ABA Section Advisor
- WILLIAM S. FORSBERG, 150 S. Fifth St., Suite 2300, Minneapolis, MN 55402-4238, ABA Section Advisor
- BARRY B. NEKRITZ, 311 S. Wacker Dr., Suite 4400, Chicago, IL 60606, *ABA Section Advisor* JAMES J. WHEATON, 1716 Corporate Landing Pkwy., Virginia Beach, VA 23454, *ABA Section Advisor*

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

JOHN A. SEBERT, 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010, Chicago, IL 60602, Executive Director

Copies of this Act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010 Chicago, Illinois 60602 312/450-6600 www.uniformlaws.org

UNIFORM STATUTORY TRUST ENTITY ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFATORY NOTE			
PREFATORY N	NOTE REGARDING 2011 AND 2013 AMENDMENTS	5	
	[ARTICLE] 1		
	GENERAL PROVISIONS		
SECTION 101	SHORT TITLE.	8	
	DEFINITIONS.		
	GOVERNING INSTRUMENT.		
	MANDATORY RULES.		
	APPLICABILITY OF TRUST LAW		
	RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.		
	CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE		
	APPLICATION TO EXISTING RELATIONSHIPS		
SECTION 109.	RESERVATION OF POWER TO AMEND OR REPEAL.	25	
	[ARTICLE] 2		
FOF	RMATION; CERTIFICATE OF TRUST AND OTHER FILINGS		
	FORMATION OF STATUTORY TRUST; CERTIFICATE OF TRUST	25	
	AMENDMENT OR RESTATEMENT OF CERTIFICATE OF TRUST		
	SIGNING OF RECORDS TO BE DELIVERED FOR FILING TO	20	
	TARY OF STATE]	27	
	LIABILITY FOR INACCURATE INFORMATION IN FILED RECORD		
	SIGNING AND FILING PURSUANT TO JUDICIAL ORDER.		
SECTION 206.	DELIVERY OF RECORD.	30	
SECTION 207.	FILING REQUIREMENTS	30	
SECTION 208.	EFFECTIVE DATE AND TIME.	33	
SECTION 209.	WITHDRAWAL OF FILED RECORD BEFORE EFFECTIVENESS	34	
	CORRECTING FILED RECORD		
	DUTY OF [SECRETARY OF STATE] TO FILE; REVIEW OF REFUSAL 7		
	ELIVERY OF RECORD BY [SECRETARY OF STATE]		
	CERTIFICATE OF GOOD STANDING OR REGISTRATION		
	PERMITTED NAMES.		
	RESERVATION OF NAME.		
	REGISTRATION OF NAME		
	REGISTERED AGENT	44	
	CHANGE OF REGISTERED AGENT OR ADDRESS FOR REGISTERED		
	BY STATUTORY TRUST.		
	RESIGNATION OF REGISTERED AGENT		
	CHANGE OF NAME OR ADDRESS BY REGISTERED AGENT		
	SERVICE OF PROCESS, NOTICE, OR DEMAND		
SECTION 221.	[ANNUAL] [BIENNIAL] REPORT FOR [SECRETARY OF STATE]	50	

[ARTICLE] 3

GOVERNING LAW; AUTHORIZATION; DURATION; POWI	ERS
SECTION 301. GOVERNING LAW	51
SECTION 302. STATUTORY TRUST AS ENTITY	52
SECTION 303. PERMISSIBLE PURPOSES.	
SECTION 304. LIABILITY OF TRUSTEES AND BENEFICIAL OWNERS	54
SECTION 305. NO CREDITOR RIGHTS IN TRUST PROPERTY	56
SECTION 306. DURATION	
SECTION 307. POWER TO HOLD PROPERTY; TITLE TO TRUST PROPER	
SECTION 308. POWER TO SUE AND BE SUED	58
[ARTICLE 4]	
SERIES TRUSTS	
SECTION 401. STATUTORY TRUST HAVING SERIES.	
SECTION 402. LIABILITY OF SERIES TRUST	
SECTION 403. CLAIMS PERTAINING TO A SERIES TRUST	
SECTION 404. DUTIES OF TRUSTEE IN SERIES TRUST	
SECTION 405. DISSOLUTION OF SERIES	64
[ARTICLE 5]	
TRUSTEES AND TRUST MANAGEMENT	
SECTION 501. MANAGEMENT OF STATUTORY TRUST	
SECTION 502. TRUSTEE POWERS	
SECTION 503. ACTION BY TRUSTEES.	
SECTION 504. PROTECTION OF PERSON DEALING WITH TRUSTEE	
SECTION 505. STANDARDS OF CONDUCT FOR TRUSTEES	
SECTION 506. REASONABLE RELIANCE.	
SECTION 507. INTERESTED TRANSACTIONS	
SECTION 508. TRUSTEE'S RIGHT TO INFORMATION	
SECTION 509. REIMBURSEMENT, INDEMNIFICATION, ADVANCEMEN	•
EXONERATION, AND INSURANCE.	
SECTION 510. DIRECTION OF TRUSTEES	
SECTION 511. DELEGATION 61 TRUSTEESECTION 512. INDEPENDENT TRUSTEE IN REGISTERED INVESTMENT	
COMPANY	
COM AUT	70
[ARTICLE] 6	
BENEFICIAL OWNERS	
SECTION 601. BENEFICIAL INTEREST.	
SECTION 602. TRANSFER OF BENEFICIAL INTEREST	
SECTION 603. VOTING OR CONSENT BY BENEFICIAL OWNERS	
SECTION 604. FORM OF AND LIABILITY FOR CONTRIBUTIONS	83
SECTION 605. SHARING OF AND RIGHT TO DISTRIBUTIONS BEFORE	25
LICANI II LI LI II III	×5

SECTION 606.	REDEMPTION OF BENEFICIAL INTEREST	86
SECTION 607.	TRANSACTION WITH BENEFICIAL OWNER.	86
SECTION 608.	BENEFICIAL OWNER'S RIGHT TO INFORMATION	87
SECTION 609.	DIRECT ACTION BY BENEFICIAL OWNER	88
SECTION 610.	DERIVATIVE ACTION.	88
SECTION 611.	PROPER PLAINTIFF.	89
	PLEADING	
	SPECIAL LITIGATION COMMITTEE	
	PROCEEDS AND EXPENSES	
	LIMITATIONS ON DISTRIBUTIONS	
SECTION 616.	LIABILITY FOR IMPROPER DISTRIBUTIONS	94
	[ARTICLE] 7	
	DISSOLUTION AND WINDING UP	
	EVENTS CAUSING DISSOLUTION.	
	ARTICLES OF DISSOLUTION.	
	WINDING UP	
	KNOWN CLAIMS AGAINST DISSOLVED STATUTORY TRUST	
	OTHER CLAIMS AGAINST DISSOLVED STATUTORY TRUST	
	COURT PROCEEDINGS	
	ADMINISTRATIVE DISSOLUTION.	
	REINSTATEMENT.	
SECTION 709.	JUDICIAL REVIEW OF DENIAL OF REINSTATEMENT	. 105
	[ARTICLE] 8	
	FOREIGN STATUTORY TRUSTS	
SECTION 801.	GOVERNING LAW	. 105
	REGISTRATION TO DO BUSINESS IN THIS STATE	
	FOREIGN REGISTRATION STATEMENT.	
	AMENDMENT OF FOREIGN REGISTRATION STATEMENT	
	ACTIVITIES NOT CONSTITUTING DOING BUSINESS.	
	NONCOMPLYING NAME OF FOREIGN STATUTORY TRUST	. 112
	WITHDRAWAL OF REGISTRATION OF REGISTERED FOREIGN	
	TORY TRUST.	
	WITHDRAWAL DEEMED ON CONVERSION TO DOMESTIC FILING	
	OR DOMESTIC LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP	
	WITHDRAWAL ON DISSOLUTION OR CONVERSION TO NONFILIN	
	OTHER THAN LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP	
	TRANSFER OF REGISTRATION.	
	TERMINATION OF REGISTRATION	
[SECTION 812	. ACTION BY [ATTORNEY GENERAL].]	. 11/

[ARTICLE] 9

MERGER, INTEREST EXCHANGE, CONVERSION, AND DOMESTICATION $[PART] \ 1$

GENERAL PROVISIONS

	NITIONS	
SECTION 902. RELA	ATIONSHIP OF [ARTICLE] TO OTHER LAWS	132
	JIRED NOTICE OR APPROVAL	
SECTION 904. NON	EXCLUSIVITY	135
SECTION 905. REFE	RENCE TO EXTERNAL FACTS	135
	AISAL RIGHTS	
[SECTION 907. EXC	LUDED ENTITIES AND TRANSACTIONS.]	136
	[PART] 2	
	MERGER	
SECTION 921. MERO	GER AUTHORIZED.	137
SECTION 922. PLAN	N OF MERGER.	138
SECTION 923. APPR	OVAL OF MERGER	140
SECTION 924. AME	NDMENT OR ABANDONMENT OF PLAN OF MERGER	141
SECTION 925. STAT	TEMENT OF MERGER; EFFECTIVE DATE OF MERGER	143
SECTION 926. EFFE	CT OF MERGER	146
	[PART] 3	
	INTEREST EXCHANGE	
SECTION 931. INTE	REST EXCHANGE AUTHORIZED.	151
	N OF INTEREST EXCHANGE.	
	OVAL OF INTEREST EXCHANGE	
SECTION 934. AME	NDMENT OR ABANDONMENT OF PLAN OF INTEREST	
		155
SECTION 935. STAT	TEMENT OF INTEREST EXCHANGE; EFFECTIVE DATE OF	
INTEREST EX	KCHANGE	157
SECTION 936. EFFE	CT OF INTEREST EXCHANGE	158
	[PART] 4	
	CONVERSION	
SECTION 941. CON	VERSION AUTHORIZED	161
	N OF CONVERSION.	
	OVAL OF CONVERSION	
	NDMENT OR ABANDONMENT OF PLAN OF CONVERSION	
	TEMENT OF CONVERSION; EFFECTIVE DATE OF	
CONVERSION	V	
SECTION 946. EFFE	CT OF CONVERSION	168

[PART] **5**

DOMESTICATION

SECTION 951. DOMESTICATION AUTHORIZED	171
SECTION 952. PLAN OF DOMESTICATION	
SECTION 953. APPROVAL OF DOMESTICATION	173
SECTION 954. AMENDMENT OR ABANDONMENT OF PLAN OF	
DOMESTICATION	174
SECTION 955. STATEMENT OF DOMESTICATION; EFFECTIVE DATE OF	
DOMESTICATION	
SECTION 956. EFFECT OF DOMESTICATION	178
[ARTICLE] 10	
MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS	
SECTION 1001. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	181
SECTION 1002. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND	
NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT.	181
SECTION 1003. SAVINGS CLAUSE	181
[SECTION 1004. SEVERABILITY CLAUSE.]	181
SECTION 1005. REPEALS	
SECTION 1006. EFFECTIVE DATE	182

UNIFORM STATUTORY TRUST ENTITY ACT (2009) (Last Amended 2013)

PREFATORY NOTE

Introduction. In large part because of uncertainty over the legal status of the business trust at common law, use of the common-law trust as a mode of business organization declined over the course of the twentieth century. Today, most commercial enterprise that is not organized as a sole proprietorship makes use of the partnership, limited liability company, or corporate forms of organization.

To address the legal uncertainty surrounding the common-law business trust, at least thirty states have enacted legislation that validates the trust as a permissible form of business organization. But the entity that arises under the more recent of these statutes is better understood as a "statutory business trust," "statutory trust entity," or "statutory trust" than as a common-law business trust with statutory validation.

A statutory trust differs from a common-law trust in a host of important respects. A common-law trust, whether its purpose is donative or commercial, arises from private action without the involvement of a public official. Because a common-law trust is not a juridical entity, it must sue and be sued, own property, and transact in the name of the trustee and in the trustee's capacity as such. *See* Jesse Dukeminier & Robert H. Sitkoff, Wills, Trusts, and Estates 393-94 (9th ed. 2013). By contrast, a statutory trust arising under the modern statutes is a juridical entity, separate from its trustees and beneficial owners, that has capacity to sue and be sued, own property, and transact in its own name. *See*, *e.g.*, Delaware Statutory Trust Act §\$3803(a)-(b), 3804(a), 3810(a)(2) (2009). A statutory trust is formed by the filing of a certificate of trust by a public official, typically the Secretary of State, in the public record. *See*, *e.g.*, *id.* §3810(a).

The modern business trust statutes do not prohibit use of a common-law trust for commercial purposes. Instead, these statutes offer transactional planners an additional option, a statutory trust entity, that is governed by the act. Common-law trusts, whether donative or commercial, abide in accordance with the principles of law and equity applicable to such trusts.

Since the 1980s, statutory trust entities have thrived in a variety of niches, particularly in the organization of mutual funds and in the practice of asset securitization. *See* Steven L. Schwarcz, Commercial Trusts as Business Organizations: Unraveling the Mystery, 58 Bus. Law. 559 (2003); John H. Langbein, The Secret Life of the Trust: The Trust as an Instrument of Commerce, 107 Yale L.J. 165 (1997); Sheldon A. Jones, Laura M. Moret & James M. Storey, The Massachusetts Business Trust and Registered Investment Companies, 13 Del. J. Corp. L. 421 (1988). The statutory trust has also come to be used in certain tax-advantaged real estate transactions. *See*, *e.g.*, Rev. Rul. 2004-86, 2004-2 C.B. 191.

The primary stimulus for the drafting of the Uniform Statutory Trust Entity Act has been the increasing popularity of statutory trust entities. Increasing use of the statutory trust as a mode of business organization has led to a recognition that in many states the status of such trusts is unclear and that much of the existing legislation is out-of-date or incomplete. The case law on statutory trusts is sparse.

The Uniform Statutory Trust Entity Act validates the statutory trust as a permissible form of business organization and invites the states to bring the disparate and often inadequate existing state laws into uniformity.

Models for Drafting. Although the Uniform Statutory Trust Entity Act is the first Uniform Act on the subject of statutory business trusts, comprehensive statutory trust legislation exists in several states. Notable examples include the statutory trust acts of Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, New Hampshire, Nevada, South Dakota, Wyoming, and Virginia, all of which were consulted in the drafting of this act.

In drafting the substantive provisions of the Uniform Statutory Trust Entity Act, the drafting committee was influenced primarily by the Delaware Statutory Trust Act. In choosing to take the Delaware Statutory Trust Act as its starting point, the drafting committee was strongly influenced by state-level data on statutory trust usage over the last few years. These data, first collected by the Reporter and then later updated by the International Association of Commercial Administrators, indicate that the Delaware Statutory Trust Act dominates the field, both in new statutory trust formations and in the aggregate number of statutory trusts.

For guidance on the law governing a common-law trust, the drafting committee took the Uniform Trust Code as its starting point and looked also to the Second and Third Restatements of Trusts. The public filing and other procedural provisions not unique to the statutory trust form follow the template of the harmonized uniform unincorporated entity acts as of 2013.

Observers and Advisors. The drafting committee benefited from regular consultation with a variety of expert observers and advisors, including representatives from the American Bankers Association, American Bar Association, and Investment Company Institute.

Innovative Provisions. Although much of the Uniform Statutory Trust Entity Act is a reorganization and refinement of provisions found in the Delaware Statutory Trust Act, the Uniform Act contains several innovations, including: (1) specification of rules that are not subject to override in the statutory trust's governing instrument (Section 104); (2) clearer guidance on the applicability of the common law of trusts to a statutory trust (Section 105); (3) prohibition against a statutory trust having a predominantly donative purpose (Section 303); (4) an entire article on series trusts (Article 4); and (5) clearer guidance on the relationship between common-law trusts and statutory trust entities (Section 108).

Default and Mandatory Rules. Most of the Uniform Statutory Trust Entity Act consists of default rules that apply only if the governing instrument does not address a particular issue. The governing instrument may override nearly all of the Act's provisions (see Section 103). The exceptions—that is, the mandatory rules that are not subject to override—are scheduled in Section 104.





Also available as part of the eCourse 2021 LLCs, LPs, and Partnerships eConference

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 30^{th} Annual LLCs, LPs and Partnerships session "Statutory Trust"