

UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



1

35 U.S.C. § 101 – Subject Matter Eligibility USPTO Guidance and Policy

**Matthew Sked
Senior Legal Advisor**

**Office of Patent Legal Administration
March 15, 2022**



2

Why we are here today

- More than 150 years of Supreme Court jurisprudence on eligibility.
- Recent case law is mostly focused on the judicial exceptions:
 - Abstract Ideas;
 - Laws of Nature/Natural Principles; and
 - Natural Phenomena (including Products of Nature).



3

3

Body of case law keeps growing


- Handful of key Supreme Court decisions.
 - Cluster in 1970s-80s: *Benson*, *Flook*, *Diehr*, and *Chakrabarty*.
 - Cluster in 2010-2014: *Bilski*, *Mayo*, *Myriad*, and *Alice Corp*.
- Dozens of relevant Federal Circuit decisions since 2012.



4

4

USPTO responded by developing guidance




5

5

Overview of eligibility analysis

- USPTO analysis addresses the two criteria for subject matter eligibility:
 - the claimed invention must be to a statutory category (Step 1); and
 - the claimed invention must qualify as patent-eligible subject matter (Steps 2A and 2B, aka the *Alice/Mayo* test).
- Flowchart at right illustrates the overall analysis.



6

6

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: 35 U.S.C. § 101 - Subject Matter Eligibility USPTO Guidance and Policy

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2022 Advanced Patent Law \(USPTO\) eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
17th Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute session

"Section 101 Review and Recent "Disruptive" Decisions from the Federal Circuit"