# Challenging Crime-based Removability

ERICA SCHOMMER

ST. MARY'S UNIVERSITY IMMIGRATION AND HUMAN RIGHTS CLINIC

# Criminal Defense of Immigrants

### Pre-Padilla v. Kentucky

- Immigration a collateral consequence, or
- Affirmative mis-advice considered ineffective assistance

### Padilla v. Kentucky, 130 S.Ct. 1473 (2010)

- Recognized dramatic changes in immigration law
- Sixth Amendment right to be informed whether "plea carries ris of deportation".
- Applied standards set in Strickland v. Washington

## Myths-Immigration Consequences

- The client did not plea to a felony; it was just a misdemeanor.
- The client served probation (or jail time for less than 6 months).
- The client was not convicted (or the conviction doesn't count under state law).
- The 5th Circuit, or the BIA, already held that the conviction was not a deportable offense.



Also available as part of the eCourse 2022 A Practical Guide to Immigration Removal Proceedings eConference

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 2022 A Practical Guide to Immigration Removal Proceedings session "Challenging Crime-Based Grounds of Deportability"