



NAVIGATING IPR ESTOPPEL IN LITIGATION

Paula D. Heyman


UT Advanced Patent Law Institute
October 21, 2022

McDermott
Will & Emery

1

INTER PARTES REVIEW (IPR)

- 35 U.S.C. § 311(b)
 - “A petitioner in an inter partes review may request to cancel as unpatentable 1 or more claims of a patent only on **a ground** that could be raised **under section 102 or 103** and only on the basis of prior art consisting of **patents or printed publications.**”



2



2

IPR ESTOPPEL

- 35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(2)
 - “The petitioner in an inter partes review of a claim in a patent under this chapter that **results in a final written decision** under section 318(a) . . . may not assert either in a civil action . . . or in a proceeding before the International Trade Commission . . . that the claim is invalid on **any ground that the petitioner raised or reasonably could have raised** during that inter partes review.”



3



3

IPR ESTOPPEL – WHERE ARE WE?

- Ground raised in IPR Petition ✓
 - *Shaw Industries Group, Inc. v. Automated Creel Systems, Inc.*, 817 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2016)
 - PTAB instituted some grounds and declined to institute others (pre-SAS)
 - Limited estoppel to only instituted grounds
 - *SAS Institute Inc. v. Iancu*, 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018)
 - PTAB must institute on all grounds raised in petition or deny review
 - Result: no IPRs addressing only some grounds raised in petition



4



4

IPR ESTOPPEL – WHERE ARE WE?

- Ground raised in IPR Petition ✓
- Ground reasonably could have been raised in IPR Petition
 - Known to petitioner prior to filing ✓
 - *California Inst. of Tech. v. Broadcom Ltd. et al.*, 25 F. 4th 976 (Fed. Cir. 2022)
 - District court barred petitioners from raising grounds of invalidity based on patents and printed publications aware of at time of IPR filing
 - Affirmed district court and overruled *Shaw* in holding estoppel applies to grounds based on patents and printed publications petitioner could have raised in IPR petition



5



5

IPR ESTOPPEL – WHERE ARE WE?

- Ground raised in IPR Petition ✓
- Ground reasonably could have been raised in IPR Petition
 - Known to petitioner prior to filing ✓
 - Should have been located by “skilled searcher” ?
 - Federal Circuit has not addressed

6



6

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Navigating IPR Estoppel in Litigation

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2022 Advanced Patent Law \(Austin\) eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
27th Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute session

"Navigating IPR Estoppel at Trial"