



Limitations



Ferrer v. Alamanza

Our reading of Section 16.063 is further bolstered by the Legislature's 1985 amendments to the statute.

Ferrer argues that we cannot consider these statutory amendments because the Legislature, in codifying the Civil Practice and Remedies Code, intended "no substantive change" in the law.

5

Ferrer v. Alamanza

In any event, courts must generally interpret the words of a codified statute as it has been enacted by the Legislature regardless of any statement asserting that changes are not substantive.

6



Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Texas Supreme Court Update

Also available as part of the eCourse 2023 Updates in Texas Appellate Law: Recent Cases and Trends

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the $33^{\rm rd}$ Annual Conference on State and Federal Appeals session "Texas Supreme Court Update"