FINDINGS OF FACT: CRITICAL, UNDERUSED ADVOCACY TOOL

Catherine Greene Burnett
© 2024

1

Georgetown Law School Writing Center

State Prosecuting Attorney's Office Texas Court of Criminal Appeals former writ staffers – Michael Falkenberg and Michael Stauffacher David Keltner


Robert Dubose

Andrea Jacobs Baldwin Chin

IT TAKES A VILLAGE THANKS TO



STANDARDS OF REVIEW



2


STANDARDS OF REVIEW: POTENTIAL ROLE IN CRAFTING PROPOSED FINDINGS


Can be a game changer
– when advocate uses
strategically, depending
on specific case

Differing standards are function of discrete institutional roles between trial and appellate courts



л









Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Finding of Fact: Critical, Underused Advocacy Tool

Also available as part of the eCourse 2024 Robert O. Dawson eConference on Criminal Appeals

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 2024 Robert O. Dawson Conference on Criminal Appeals session "Drafting Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law"