

UT LAW CLE

The University of Texas :




**Nicolas Chavez** Chavez & Valko, LLP Fort Worth, TX



Amelia Ruiz Fischer Fischer & Fischer Attorneys at Law Nacogdoches, TX

1



## Our Duties in "Crimmigration" Matters

- Collaborate with defense counsel
- Understand State and Federal Laws
- Offer guidance
- Advocate for client's future
  - Bond, relief, benefits, natz, etc




2



## Categorical Approach

- **Step 1**. Identify the federal deportation offense. Federal statute or generic definition
- Step 2. Identify minimum conduct as proscribed by the state statute
- Step 3. Compare statute elements with federal definition



\_

## **Step 4? Realistic Probability Test**

- Gonzales v. Duenas-Alvares, 549 U.S. 183 (2007) requiring a "realistic probability" not theoretical possibility that a certain state statute falls outside the federal generic definition.
- If the minimum conduct is clearly not a categorical match, the inquiry should end.
- BUT not so in the Fifth Circuit (as it relates to agfels and controlled substances)
- CA5 requires a showing of an actual case that has been prosecuted for conduct outside the federal definition.
- But how do you meet the "actual case" requirement with the CA5??? (not settled yet)



Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Texas Crimmigration

Also available as part of the eCourse Texas Crimmigration

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the  $48^{\text{th}}$  Annual Conference on Immigration and Nationality Law session "Texas Crimmigration"