

**Presented**

2013 Consumer Bankruptcy Seminar  
August 8-9, 2013  
Moody Gardens, Galveston Texas

**Federal Bankruptcy Rule 3002.1 & Its Aftermath**

By:

Cristina Platon Camaratta  
&  
Eduardo V. Rodriguez

## Table of Contents

<b>Introduction</b> .....	4
Rule 3002.1 Interpretations and Actions .....	5
How the courts are interpreting Rule 3002.1 .....	5
<b>APPLICABLE CASES</b> .....	5
<i>In re Holman</i> , 2013WL1100705 (Bankr. E.D. Ky 2013) .....	5
Rule Doesn't Apply .....	6
<i>In re Wallett</i> , 2012WL4062657 (Bankr. D. Vermont 2012).....	6
<i>In re Garduno</i> , 2012 WL 2402789 (Bankr. S.D. Fla 2012).....	6
<b>APPLICABLE CASES</b> .....	6
<i>In re Garza</i> , 2012WL4738651 (Bkrtcy. S.D. TX 2012).....	6
Note requires monthly interest rate adjustments.....	7
<i>In re Pillow</i> , Case No. 11-11688 (Bankr. W.D. Mich. 2013).....	7
Note terms make it “virtually impossible” for lender to comply.....	7
<i>In re Adkins</i> , (477 B.R., N.D. Ohio 2012) .....	7
The automatic stay has terminated.....	7
<i>In re Holman</i> , 2013WL1100705 (Bankr. E.D. Ky 2013) .....	7
<i>In re Kraska</i> , 2012WL1267993 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 2012).....	8
Rule 3002.1 does not provide a procedure for the determination of a contested notice of payment change. ....	8
<i>In re Creggett</i> , 2012WL6737813 (Bankr. S.D. TX 2012).....	8
Charging Fees For Preparation of Form .....	8
Rule 3002.1(c) Notice of Fees, Expenses, and Charges .....	9
<b>APPLICABLE CASES</b> .....	9
<i>In re Wallett</i> , 2012WL4062657 (Bankr. D. Vermont 2012).....	9
<i>In re Lopez</i> , 2012WL6760175 (Bkrtcy. S.D. TX 2012) .....	9
Rule 3002.1(d) Form and content .....	10
Rule 3002.1(e) Determination of Fees, Expenses or Charges .....	10
<b>APPLICABLE CASES</b> .....	10

<i>In re Boyd</i> , 2013WL1844076 (Bkrcty. S.D. TX 2013).	10
Rule 3002.1(f) Notice of Final Cure Payment	11
APPLICABLE CASES	11
<i>In re Thongta</i> , 480 B.R. 317 (Bankr. E.D. Wis. 2012).	11
Rule 3002.1(g) Response to Notice of Final Cure Payment	11
APPLICABLE CASES	12
<i>In re Baca</i> , 2012 WL 6647733 (Bkrcty.D.N.M.)	12
<i>In re Thongta</i> , 480 B.R. 317 (Bankr. E.D. Wis. 2012).	12
<i>In re Rodriguez</i> , Case No. 08-80025-G5-13 (July 8, 2013).	12
Rule 3002.1(h) Determination of Final Cure and Payment	12
APPLICABLE CASES	13
<i>In re Kreidler</i> , 2013 WL 1334910 (Bkrcty.M.D.Pa.)	13
Rule 3002.1(i) Failure to Notify	13
APPLICABLE CASES	13
<i>In re Gutierrez</i> , 2012 WL 5355964 (Bkrcty.D.N.M.)	13
<i>In re Creggett</i> , 2012 WL 6737813 (Bkrcty.S.D.Tex.)	14
<i>In re Lopez</i> , 2012 WL 6760175 (Bkrcty.S.D.Tex.)	14
Future Actions - Draft of Bankruptcy Rules Changes that will be published in August, 2013.	14

### **Introduction**

Federal Bankruptcy Rule 3002.1 became effective on December 1, 2011. Prior to its enactment, charges, fees and costs relating to the debtor's principal residence could accrue during the course of the bankruptcy but remain hidden until the debtor received a discharge. At that juncture, debtors often resorted to re-filing for bankruptcy protection to address these charges or face a possible foreclosure on their home.

Rule 3002.1 requires creditors with claims secured by debtor's principal residence to serve notice on the debtor, debtor's counsel and the trustee of payment changes and an itemization of post-petition fees, expenses or charges recoverable against the debtor or the debtor's residence. More importantly Rule 3002.1 provides disclosure to prevent debtors from defaulting at the conclusion of a Chapter 13 case, while affording expedited review of post-petition mortgage disputes. The Rule further allows debtors and trustees to challenge charges accrued prior to discharge and permits sanctions for non-compliance.

Bankruptcy courts across the country have published approximately forty opinions seeking to define the parameters of Federal Rule 3002.1. Two interrelated lines of inquiry are developing. One line of inquiry addresses efforts to shift the economic burden of complying with the Rule from creditors to debtors. The other considers whether waiver of compliance is ever permissible or even necessary. What follows is a summary of some of those cases and how the Rule is being applied.

Finally, how are you handling the Notices of Post Petition Mortgage Fees, Expenses and Charges in your district? Is your Chapter 13 Trustee paying those expenses or are your clients paying for them directly? Remember, Rule 3002.1 is not intended to be either a pleading or a claim. It is simply a statement that a creditor files to inform the debtor that postpetition expenses have been incurred. It is akin to providing an annual escrow statement. Section 501 of the Bankruptcy Code sets forth the manner in which claims may be filed while Section 502 governs how claims may be allowed. The Trustee has no obligation or authority to pay fees, expenses or charges identified in a notice filed in accordance with Rule 3002.1. Remember 3002.1 requires that a notice of post petition fees, expenses, or charges be filed as a "supplement to the holder's proof of claim," not an amended proof of claim. A creditor that wants to be paid for post petition fees or charges through the Chapter 13 plan must file a formal proof of claim. 11 U.S.C. §1305.

**Rule 3002.1 Interpretations and Actions**  
**How the courts are interpreting Rule 3002.1**

The Advisory Committee Note to Rule 3002.1 provides that the Rule was enacted to aid in the implementation of 11 U.S.C. § 1322(b)(5), which permits a chapter 13 debtor to cure a default and maintain payments of a home mortgage over the course of the debtor's plan. It applies regardless of whether the trustee or the debtor is the disbursing agent for post-petition payments.

Rule 3002.1(a)

In General Rule 3002,1 applies only” to claims in a Chapter 13 case that are (1) secured by a security interest in the debtor’s principal residence and to claims (2) provided for under 11 U.S.C. § 1322(b)(5) in the plan.”

**APPLICABLE CASES**

**Rule Applies**

**In re Holman, 2013WL1100705 (Bankr. E.D. Ky 2013)**

In this particular case, the lender filed a motion for relief from stay which included a request to cease filing notices of payment change under Rule 3002.1. The court granted the motion for relief from stay, but refused to allow the lender to stop filing the notices of payment changes. The lender’s argument was that since the stay lifted, the claim was no longer provided for under 11 U.S.C. § 1322(b)(5) in the plan, and thus Rule 3002.1 no longer applied. The court disagreed and stated that even though the debt is no longer being paid, the claim remains “provided for under that section of the plan.” The court cited to the Supreme Court decision in *Rake v. Wade*, 508 U.S. 464, 473 (1993) to show that it adopted the broad definition that a plan need only “make a provision for it; i.e., deal with it or refer to it.”

**In re Kraska, 2012WL1267993 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 2012)**

In this particular case, the lender filed a motion for relief from stay and submitted a proposed order that also waived compliance with Rule 3002.1. The debtor was surrendering the collateral, but the court found that it didn’t matter if the claim was secured or unsecured as § 1322(b)(5) covers both secured and unsecured claims. The court also advised the lender that the Rule does not contain any exceptions which would allow the court to grant the requested relief.